

**FILED**

**APR 17 2012**

**RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**EDY RAMIREZ,**

**No. C 12-0383 WHA (PR)**

**Plaintiff,**

**ORDER OF SERVICE**

**v.**

**MATTHEW CATE; ANTHONY  
HEDGPETH; G. BIAGGINI; N.  
WALKER;**

**Defendants.**

**INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff, an inmate at Salinas Valley State Prison ("SVSP"), filed this pro se civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983. He has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a separate order. Based upon a review of the amended complaint, which supercedes the original complaint, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1915A, the Marshal is ordered to serve process upon defendants.

**ANALYSIS**

**A. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims

1 which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek  
2 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro  
3 se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699  
4 (9th Cir. 1990).

5 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires only "a short and plain statement of the  
6 claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." "Specific facts are not necessary; the  
7 statement need only" give the "defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds  
8 upon which it rests." *Erickson v. Pardus*, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007) (citations omitted).  
9 Although in order to state a claim a complaint "does not need detailed factual allegations, . . . a  
10 plaintiff's obligation to provide the 'grounds of his 'entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than  
11 labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not  
12 do. . . . Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level."  
13 *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964-65 (2007) (citations omitted). A  
14 complaint must proffer "enough facts to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face." *Id.*  
15 at 1986-87.

16 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements:  
17 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that  
18 the alleged deprivation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *West v.*  
19 *Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

## 20 **B. LEGAL CLAIMS**

21 Plaintiff alleges that defendants have a policy that caused plaintiff to be denied access to  
22 outside exercise, to telephones, to the canteen, to religious services, to visits, to receiving  
23 packages, and to the law library solely on the basis of his ethnicity. When liberally construed,  
24 these allegations are sufficient to state a cognizable claim for the violation of Plaintiff's rights  
25 under the Equal Protection Clause of the federal and state constitutions.

26 Plaintiff also alleges that he has been denied "adequate" outside exercise in violation of  
27 the federal and state constitutional proscription against cruel and unusual punishment because  
28

1 he only receives 2-4 hours of exercise per week, and he has no access to a day room. When  
2 liberally construed, his amended complaint states a cognizable claim that he has been  
3 sufficiently deprived of outdoor exercise to constitute cruel and unusual punishment under the  
4 state and federal constitutions.

### 5 CONCLUSION

6 1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without  
7 prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint in this matter with all attachments thereto and a  
8 copy of this order upon defendants: **Matthew Cate; Anthony Hedgpeth, G. Biaggini, and N.**  
9 **Walker, at Salinas Valley State Prison.** A courtesy copy of the complaint with its attachments  
10 and this order shall also be mailed to the California Attorney General's Office.

11 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as follows:

12 a. No later than ninety days from the date this order is filed, defendants shall file  
13 a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If defendants are of the opinion  
14 that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the court prior to  
15 the date the summary judgment motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be promptly  
16 served on the plaintiff.

17 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the  
18 court and served upon defendants no later than thirty days from the date of service of the  
19 motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING," which is  
20 provided to him pursuant to *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc),  
21 and *Klinge v. Eikenberry*, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

22 If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss claiming that plaintiff failed to  
23 exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff  
24 should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is  
25 provided to him as required by *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir.),  
26 *cert. denied*, *Alameida v. Wyatt*, 124 S.Ct 50 (2003).

27 c. Defendants **shall** file a reply brief no later than fifteen days after the date of  
28

1 service of the opposition.

2 d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due.  
3 No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

4 4. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant, or  
5 defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document  
6 to defendant or defendant's counsel.

7 5. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No  
8 further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16-1 is  
9 required before the parties may conduct discovery.

10 6. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court  
11 informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion.  
12 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to  
13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 Dated: April 17, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 G:\PRO-SE\WHA\CR.12\RAMIREZ0383.SRV.wpd  
28

**NOTICE -- WARNING**  
**(SUMMARY JUDGMENT)**

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

**NOTICE -- WARNING**  
**(EXHAUSTION)**

If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.

You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

If defendants file a motion to dismiss and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

there will be no trial.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDY RAMIREZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

MATTHEW CATE et al,

Defendant.

---

Case Number: CV12-00383 WHA

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 19, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Edy Ramirez  
K-80448  
Salinas Valley State Prison  
PO Box 1050  
Soledad, CA 93960

Dated: April 19, 2012

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Lili M. Harrell, Deputy Clerk